# TRANSCRIPT

A BAN SHARIA WEEKLY WEBINAR SERIES

# ELECTION JIHAD

Wednesday, July 1, 2026
https://bansharia.com/webinar-election-jihad/
Media File: Webinar_ELECTION_JIHAD.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

[00:00:00] **Frank Gaffney:** Welcome to this edition of our BanSharia.com webinar series. We're going in at a slightly adjusted time because in our normal time, I'm very proud to say, we will be participating in a program on Capitol Hill, a press conference convened by the Sharia Free Caucus for America up there, and that requires a slight adjustment in our programming.

[00:00:38] But we're going to make the most of the opportunity to speak to you today about a facet of Sharia and the pursuit of its imposition on our country by adherents who are following its command to engage in jihad [00:01:00] of whatever form will advance the cause.

Today's topic is a particularly insidious form of jihad. It is, I guess, worst, pre-violent; at the best, non-violent, but it is very toxic. And that is the use of our voting processes to seek to impose on our country individuals and policy agendas that will ultimately subvert and replace our constitutional form of government.

It's a Trojan horse, I guess one might say, being wheeled into our gates for the purposes of getting either Sharia supremacists themselves elected to various offices, or people who are not Muslim but who are nonetheless only [00:02:00] too happy to accept votes or money or both from those who are set on the destruction of the United States by virtue of their desire to replace, as I say, our Constitution, our freedoms, our republic, with Sharia.

We have an extraordinary group of people, as I think has been the case for each of these programs, praise God, who are going to contribute insights into what I think can best be described as election jihad, starting with a familiar and highly valued contributor, Robert Spencer.

He is the author of some thirty-two books, I believe, at the moment, never counting, including a whole series of very scholarly, very detailed, [00:03:00] and very necessary treatments of various aspects of Islam, of Sharia, of jihad, of Muhammad the Prophet, his life and teachings.

Robert Spencer is joining us via videotape in his capacity, I guess, among other things, as executive director of JihadWatch.org, an invaluable online resource. He is also a columnist for FrontPage Magazine and for various products of the David Horowitz Freedom Center.

We're delighted to have him with us, and let's go to the video with Robert Spencer right now.

[00:03:51] **Robert Spencer:** Islam is as much a political system as it is a religion, and this is very little understood in the West. And one of the aspects of [00:04:00] that is that wherever Muslims go, they will seek political power.

In the days of the great caliphates, they did that by means of military attacks. They would conquer new lands and impose Islamic Sharia government. Nowadays, they do not have the military might to stand up to, or much less to conquer, the United States or even the powers, the once-great powers of Western Europe.

But this doesn't mean that the jihad does not exist. It continues by other means. And after all, in classic Islamic theology, there has always been not only the jihad of the sword, but the jihad of the pen, the jihad of the tongue, the jihad of the pocketbook, the jihad of many kinds, all meant for the same goal, which was to establish the hegemony of [00:05:00] Sharia over non-Muslims.

In the United States, this is happening through elections. The subversion of the existing political process, such that without anyone noticing and without anyone realizing that a revolution is going on, a very real revolution will take place.

The Muslim Brotherhood's famous Explanatory Memorandum, a captured internal document detailing its plans for the United States and North America in general, says that the brothers must understand that their work in America is a kind of grand jihad in eliminating and destroying Western civilization from within and sabotaging its miserable house by their hands and the hands of the believers, so that it falls and Allah's religion is victorious over other religions.

[00:05:56] This is an imperative that has [00:06:00] quite clearly been followed by the major Muslim Brotherhood-linked organizations in the United States: the Council on American-Islamic Relations, the Muslim American Society, the Islamic Society of North America, the Muslim Students Association, and many others.

They have all sought in various ways to subvert the existing process, and in subverting it, to bring about the beginning of its Islamization.

So in the electoral sphere, we have organizations such as Emgage. Emgage is another Muslim Brotherhood-linked organization that is dedicated to getting Muslim candidates elected to offices at every level of the American government: local, state, and national.

And for the left, this is a [00:07:00] wonderful, benign process of diversity and multiculturalism, or at least that's what they say in public. And of course, we know that whenever leftist politicians such as Congressman Jamie Raskin of Maryland or Steve Cohen of Tennessee speak about Sharia, they speak about it assuming that it is an entirely benign, private system of religious law that need not threaten any non-Muslims and only threatens people who are racist, bigoted Islamophobes.

So in line with that idea, they are happy to work with Emgage and to help get Muslims elected to every level of American society because they think this will make us more of the glorious multi-culti patchwork that they envision. After all, they say diversity is our strength.

In doing this, they play right into the hands, [00:08:00] I am once again speaking about the American left, they play right into the hands of the Muslim Brotherhood, which also details in that same Explanatory Memorandum how the mosque is the center, the Islamic center. And you notice how so many mosques in the United States are called the Islamic Center of whatever city.

And the mosque is the center for religious operations, religious observances, as well as social gatherings and political operations. And the Explanatory Memorandum goes even farther than that and mentions battalion right alongside study as one of the things that the mosque is a center for.

And so they're envisioning a time when Muslims will be fighting against non-Muslims and using the mosque as perhaps a storehouse for weapons or the headquarters for a battalion. [00:09:00] It's not quite clear what battalion is meant in this context, but it's pretty clear that it's a martial, military kind of operation.

And it's important to note in that connection that many mosques in other countries have been discovered over the years to have been stockpiling weapons, particularly in areas that are hotbeds of jihad, such as Gaza, Kashmir, and elsewhere.

And so it's not beyond the realm of possibility that we could find mosques in the United States being used at some point to storehouse weapons as well, since after all, in the United States we should bear in mind, although the American public generally doesn't have any idea about the political, supremacist, expansionist, and violent aspects of

Islam, the American Muslims have the same Quran, the same Muhammad, the same imperatives ultimately.

From the mosque comes not just the religious activity, but [00:10:00] political action and also, theoretically in the future, military action. It also should be noted that when they call the mosque the Islamic Center, that the word for a base or foundation in Arabic is Qaeda, as in Al-Qaeda.

And so they're saying that every mosque, in a certain sense, is the foundation for activities to bring Dawa, Islamic proselytizing, to the surrounding areas, and ultimately to Islamize them. And that is the base from which they do that, the Qaeda. In any case, that means also political action.

Now, this is where it becomes extremely dangerous for American politicians, because American politicians see the mosque, and they see the mosque engaging in political action. They see them backing various candidates, and they want that backing because the mosque is going to vote 100% for whatever candidate the clerics tell the people to vote for. [00:11:00]

Why will they do that? Because the mosque is in itself a collective operation. It is not a religion or a setting that puts a tremendous value on individual rights or on the individual's freedom of thought. These are not popular concepts or even very well-known concepts within Islam. Rather, one dedicates oneself to the good of the Ummah, the global Muslim community, and does what is good for the Ummah as part of this much larger collective.

So if the local mosque tells you to vote for a candidate, you'll vote for a candidate. Now, that's catnip for politicians, because the idea of a 100% certain voting bloc that will be on their side, well, that will ensure, in many places, that they can just be elected as many times as they want.

Meanwhile, [00:12:00] the civilization jihad process, as the Explanatory Memorandum explains, is going on by means of this political action, just as it does in other places by means of military action or historically by means of military action, and may potentially someday in the United States proceed by military action.

Right now, it's political action by means of the ballot box in order to achieve exactly the same goal as the military action, which is ultimately the subversion of the existing order, the destruction of the existing order, and the replacement of the existing order by one that is ruled by Sharia.

So we should regard these efforts by organizations such as Emgage as part of the larger Islamic imperative and not just say, "Oh, how nice they're being diverse and

bringing Muslim candidates into the United States political process," because the idea is [00:13:00] frankly insidious and ultimately destructive of our constitutional freedoms.

These are truths that are readily demonstrable and imperative to get out to the wider public. Thank you.

[00:13:14] **Frank Gaffney:** Very important historical grounding for the conversation we'll be having in the course of this next hour, as well as, of course, very much up-to-the-minute analysis of what is happening around us.

I wanted at this moment to go to another video with our colleague Peter McIlvenna, executive director of a marvelous organization in the United Kingdom called Hearts of Oak, which produces a podcast of the same name with his boss in the House of Lords, Lord Malcolm Pearson.

[00:13:50] **Peter McIlvenna:** I've called it the slow march of Islam. High demographics are giving Islam this bloc power in UK politics. [00:14:00] And we've seen this very clearly in a number of small elections in the country and what has happened certainly in London.

And if you go to Birmingham, which is the second city in the UK, exactly the same is happening, and many, many other cities across the UK. And although nationally Muslims only number around 4 million, that's roughly 6% officially of the UK population. But certainly in London, that is 1.3 million Muslims, which is around 15% of the population of the capital city. In Birmingham, it's closer to 30%, which is our second city in England.

And in our first-past-the-post electoral system, this really gives Muslims a large clout because they are concentrated in specific areas.

[00:14:53] But my big concern is, look at the schools, because that's where the future obviously is being built. [00:15:00] And Muslims make up 10% of school age nationally. That's double their share of the population. But in several Birmingham areas, Muslim children make up to 80% of the population in those specific areas. That is well, well past the 30% in Birmingham.

And of course, in London, we see the same. I remember going with one of my children to schools to see where they would go to school in the next year, and I was shocked at maybe a third or more of the girls in the classes all wearing headscarves, and that shows where we are moving to.

And we saw a preview last year. Labour's traditional Muslim support took a hammering, and it was specifically over Gaza. In the twenty-one seats where Muslims are more than 30% of the population, [00:16:00] Labour, which is our party on the left, our Keir Starmer, soon to be Andy Burnham, but our left-wing party, its share fell from 65% in

2019 to 36% in 2024. Its share halved. In council wards where more than 20% have Muslim residents, they dropped twenty-one points.

And this was driven all to do with Gaza, all to do with a hate of Israel. And behind a lot of this was a Muslim bloc vote, specifically a campaign called The Muslim Vote. It was launched in 2023. It's not as advanced as you have in the U.S. with CAIR. We are much more amateurish on our side, thank God, but it is building up.

But I think what we are seeing in the UK is mirroring what is happening in the U.S. in politics. So we are further along demographically, but behind you in [00:17:00] the tied-up, joined-up Muslim vote that we are seeing.

But all of this fell into, obviously, the war that we have seen in Israel, in Gaza, and of course, across the border in the north into Lebanon, and that was really used as a tool. And I thought some of the campaigns, some of the candidates were running for an election in Gaza as opposed to an election in the UK, and yet they made their focus what was happening in Gaza.

We saw very, very high postal votes, which is a massive concern, I know, with your forever voting system in the U.S. You kind of mirror our postal vote system. We saw family coordination. I know Nigel Farage has talked about his concern at Muslim family bloc votes. We saw this laser focus on Palestine. It was [00:18:00] classic bloc politics in action.

Specifically in the Gorton and Denton by-election that was maybe two months ago up in Manchester, where Andy Burnham, the next leader of the UK is from, up in the northwest of England. We saw the Green candidate won with strong vote from the Muslim bloc vote. In fact, she went from 13% of the vote in 2024 to 42% of the vote six, seven, eight weeks ago. And that was all propelled by a 30% Muslim bloc vote in that area. So that is what we are seeing. That was the first time we saw the Muslim bloc vote happening.

And of course, we see a lot of independent candidates, Muslim independent candidates. We probably have twenty-four Muslim independent candidates, strong Muslims in Parliament, and that is part of the push. They're pushing [00:19:00] the white liberals to adopt a definition of Islamophobia that is going to be — if we thought in the UK our hate speech laws were bad, then this is going to make that minuscule.

And the Free Speech Union have talked about they expect legal cases to go up by six times in terms of arrests for offending. That is that little word, or it's a larger word, Islamophobia, that is weaponizing free speech like we have never, ever seen before.

And if you look at the Jewish population, we have three hundred thousand Jews in very small areas, and yet I have seen those Jewish populations be pushed out. As buildings

become mosques, that pushes the Jewish population out. We see this forever hatred, fourteen-hundred-year hatred between Muslims and the Jews, and that [00:20:00] is obviously not going to change.

Obviously, we have got the grooming gang scandal, and that has all been ninety-one, ninety-two, ninety-three percent of all the perpetrators have been Muslim Pakistani men. And we have had two hundred and fifty thousand girls being affected by that, up to a million girls according to one Labour left-wing politician.

And of course, this fits into the fear of pointing out when a crime is done, if it is done from a community that has these specific protections, and that is Islam. You cannot critique, you cannot call out, and that's why for at least fifty-one years we have had these Muslim rape gangs happening across the UK.

And that is a concern to the U.S., that if you turn a blind eye to crimes because you want to protect your community cohesion, which is what we had, then it means you [00:21:00] also could have rape gangs that we have seen in the UK, like we have seen in France, like we have seen in Sweden, like they've been talked about possibly happening in parts of Germany.

And this, I fear, will be a future story in the U.S. when this comes out. But we see local authorities, those small authorities that make up the local councils, we see those with growing Muslim numbers as we see a huge shift in the ground and a focus on local authorities, a focus on the local councils towards faith accommodation and cultural sensitivities.

Now we have seen mass immigration before. We have seen immigration, certainly the Windrush generation, the '50s, whenever we had boatloads coming over from the Caribbean. We have seen immigration over the hundreds of years, but Islam is something different. And we have had a number of political commentators talk about this.

[00:21:57] Trevor Phillips, who was a Labour [00:22:00] politician, is now on Sky News every Sunday morning giving a political overview. He is someone who I think was from the Caribbean. He is Black, certainly on the left, and he has talked about Islam not being able to integrate or assimilate into England, into the UK.

And he has said that waves of migrants have been able to assimilate over the decades, but there is something specific with Islam that does not allow Islam to integrate. So the scale of this is different. The Muslim population grew by 1.2 million between 2011 and 2021. That's 32% of total UK population growth.

Lord Pearson has put these figures at up to 90% of the population growth is Islam, with Islam growing at 110%, with the national population growing at [00:23:00] 11%. That's

ten times more the growth. So we see this huge figure, and you extrapolate this out, and we're looking at maybe 2065 of us being a majority-Muslim country. The numbers don't lie.

This is all about data, and I would encourage the U.S. viewers watching this to look at your data, request the data, look at the information, look at the change in demographics, look at the school-age change, look at the change in voting population, look at where this is targeted, look at those pockets, because that will give you an idea of where you're heading.

And my huge concern is that you're heading the same direction as the UK. The Green Party, the hard, hard left party, are seeing massive growth in the UK, and we see large parts of the Labour Party on the left moving towards a pro-Islam position to simply get those votes. [00:24:00]

We have thirty-five local authorities over 25% Muslim, and we see certainly those huge, huge growths happen with Muslim population growing times faster than a Christian or Jewish population or English population as a whole.

So in effect, what we are seeing is that those who are English will be foreigners in their own country as Islam takes over in the political system. So I plead with you to watch what is happening in the UK, change course, hold on to your identity, and make sure you do not have the same Muslim bloc vote as we are having in the UK.

[00:24:43] **Frank Gaffney:** I'd like to go to one final video. One of America's foremost pollsters, a man who has surveyed public opinion extensively on attitudes concerning Sharia and has an appreciation [00:25:00] more generally of what is happening in the body politic in the United States with respect to the insinuation of Sharia through stealthy means, including election jihad.

Scott Rasmussen gave us his contribution to the program, again, via video, and I want you to pay particular attention to his observation about what it means to have mosques as polling places in the United States of America.

Let's go to Scott Rasmussen's video now.

[00:25:36] **Scott Rasmussen:** So I think the way you have to look at all of this is to begin by looking at the broader American public.

[00:25:43] I describe America as a ten-ten-eighty nation. There are 10% on the political left who are engaged in a bitter war with 10% on the right. The 80% just want to keep their heads down and avoid getting [00:26:00] caught up in the political warfare.

Now, the problem we have with that is the vast majority of Americans, the 80%, they continue to believe America's founding ideals of freedom, equality, and self-governance. They continue to reject extreme rhetoric they hear.

You know, hardly anybody supported it last year, anybody nationally supported it last year, when newly elected Mayor Mamdani of New York said their city is under international law, not U.S. law. You talk about Sharia law and other things. People reject those ideas because they still embrace America's founding ideals.

Unfortunately, in our political process, excessive weight is given to those 10 percenters, and the core reason is the way the election process works in America today. Most elections are designed to go to one party or the other. [00:27:00] About 90 or 95% of congressional seats go to one party or the other. If you're running for mayor of a city like New York or San Francisco, look, it's going to be a Democrat just because of the underlying dynamics there.

What that means is that primaries decide who becomes our political leaders. I don't want to say our leaders because most positive change in America begins outside of politics. But our political leaders, elected politicians, are picked in primaries for the most part. These are the places where the 80% really don't get involved.

So the 10 percenters on the left are fighting about who's going to be elected in a city like New York or Chicago, who's going to be the Democratic nominee for the U.S. Senate in a particular state.

[00:27:52] And when you get into some of these battles, again, you're talking very small slivers of [00:28:00] the electorate. And because of that, a disciplined group, a group that is able to say, "Hey, we are going to get our people out in force," whether it's the Democratic Socialists or some other group, they can have a huge impact because they don't need to get out 50% of the vote in the city.

Mamdani last year in New York only attracted the votes of about one out of ten New York residents. So you just need a small fraction in the primary, and then you have a huge impact on who is elected. And that's a problem.

And I would put it in a larger historic context because the primary voting, because it's dominated by activists, is tearing us apart. For most of the 20th century, up to and including 1972, there was a presidential landslide either every election or every other [00:29:00] election. Never went more than two elections in a row without somebody winning a massive landslide.

Why does that matter? Well, if you have massive landslides, first, the losing team doesn't care. They don't make excuses. Walter Mondale won one state against Ronald Reagan. He didn't say, "If I'd have gone to Wisconsin, I could have won." You accept the

loss. It purifies and cleans up the political system. It gives the leader some kind of governing mandate.

Up till 1972, primaries played a small role in the political process. But beginning in ’72 and then in ’76 for both parties, almost all delegates are selected by primaries. And because of that, we have not had a landslide election in forty years. Never before in American history has that happened.

We’ve only had two candidates in the last four years who got more [00:30:00] than 51% of the vote. Half of the presidents won with less than 50% of the vote. So the primaries are empowering activist extremists, and these are people who are pushing agendas that the vast majority of Americans just reject. And then by the time you get to Election Day, there’s not much you can do about it.

So this is a huge problem in American politics. I would say, if I was being very succinct about it, the problem is the 10 percenters who are fighting this war are so obsessed with winning the battles within their own side or beating the other team, that they don’t really care about representing the 80% anymore. And the 80% are the ones who actually represent America at its best.

When you talk about mosques as voting stations or any other particular voting stations, that just [00:31:00] accentuates the process. You know, pollsters for years, back a long, long time ago, they used to do in-person interviews. A pollster would send somebody to your house to do the interview. And what they quickly learned was the person that you sent would influence your vote.

So if a Black American were sent in to do the survey, you might answer differently than if it was a white person. If it was an older woman, you might answer differently than a younger man. Even when subtle things like that make a difference, imagine the impact when you’re walking into a physical facility.

Yes, it impacts your voting thought process. You’re not quite sure who’s looking or who’s watching or what’s going on. This is part of the discipline process. It would not matter except for the fact that the primaries have such small turnouts. This is an issue that we need to resolve in some greater manner. [00:32:00]

I think the core issue comes down to the fact that people who are in power aren’t really interested in listening to the voters. They want to set up systems so they can decide who shows up to vote.

[00:32:12] **Frank Gaffney:** Again, a man of very considerable experience in the political arena. So when it comes to election jihad, his insights about the kind of pressure to conform, to do what one is told in a setting like a mosque polling station, if you happen

to be part of the Muslim community, is profound and may even apply to others who are not Muslim in a similar circumstance.

I did want to say that one of Scott Rasmussen's many books is relevant to all of this, I think: The Sun Is Still Rising: Politics Has Failed, But America Will Not.

We're going to turn to a man who has also got very extensive experience in [00:33:00] politics at every level. He has been involved in some 300 campaigns, including most of the presidential ones in his lifetime.

His name is George Rasley. He has had experience in senior positions on Capitol Hill and in the Office of the Vice President of the United States. These days, he manages a wonderful online resource, Richard Viguerie's newsletter titled ConservativeHQ.

Over to you, my friend. Thank you so much for joining us and bringing that wealth of experience to this important topic, sir.

[00:33:35] **George Rasley:** Thank you, Frank. And I want to follow up what Scott Rasmussen said in his video by noting that the executive director of CAIR, the Council on American-Islamic Relations, is alleged to have paraphrased Malcolm X in saying that Islam was going to conquer America either by bullets or ballots.

[00:33:59] Right [00:34:00] now, the conquest of America via the ballot box is advancing at a startling rate.

[00:34:09] **Video clip:** Stockholm is less than a million, right? And in Stockholm, if you have 10% Muslim... I was in the city of Malmö. Malmö, it's spelled with an O, but it's pronounced Malmö. And they said that if the Swedish population maintains its negative and the Muslim population maintains...

Muslims have, mashallah, the average of what? Five, six, seven kids, right? The average mashallah. It's our secret weapon, I say. This is the halal secret weapon, guys, huh? So don't worry, take the video and biscuit. Halal secret weapon. It's not our fault that we have more kids, guys. You want to do whatever you want to do. It's all halal, alhamdulillah.

So if the projection continues, I was told by one of the local councilors there that within one generation, it is [00:35:00] very likely that this city will almost be half Muslim because this city is just not there. The locals are not reproducing. It's not our fault. I mean, I don't know why they're getting irritated at us. It's not our fault we're having kids, right?

So the point is, what's going to happen to the majority? Now, finish off on this negative note. You know the Swedish Quran burning. You're hearing this happening, right? I

passed by the place where the guy did it, and he did it in a district of Malmö that is 90% Muslim.

[00:35:33] **George Rasley:** Across the West, and in this case, that's an imam in Texas actually talking about the demographic jihad in Sweden. Across the West, Muslims, when they vote, when they have the vote, vote overwhelmingly for the left. And that's not because they share the left agenda of gay rights and radical feminism and the transgender ideology.

[00:35:59] It's [00:36:00] because the left facilitates their immigration invasion through its open-border policies, and it subsidizes their multiple wives through very generous welfare programs. And so it, in essence, is subsidizing this reproductive jihad that the imam was talking about, and that translates into our electoral defeat over time.

It's interesting that for decades, conservatives and Christians were told that there was separation between church and state, that preaching politics from the pulpit was illegal. Fast-forward to today, and Muslims preach bloc voting for Democrats as part of what looks to many of us like a seditious conspiracy to overthrow the Constitution, and it's working.

[00:36:58] **Speaker 7:** One day you're going to be the President of the [00:37:00] United States of America. No one, no Michael Ali, who can stop you from becoming a president. Dream big. Have a vision. Because if Barack Hussein Obama can be a president, Soheb Jawad cannot be a president? Tell. He's going to be a president.

He's talking about the Muslims will take over Mecca. They used to laugh at him. What happened? What is Mecca now? Where is Mecca? It's coming. Change is coming to America. And what is Allah telling us? "You are the best of nations." You're better than everybody else. That's what Allah is saying. Let's work towards that.

Let's work towards a Muslim mayor. Next election that comes in, nominate people for the school board of education. Next election that comes here, nominate people for the local township because the demographics change. People converted. There's a big, huge conversion going on in this country. Where are the converts? They just convert, and they're gone in the wilderness.

The other thing, children. Muslims have the highest [00:38:00] population. Pew Foundation did a research study, Pew Foundation, and they said that Muslim household average is 3.4 children per family. The white American has one child per family. We're already beating the march because these are voters. These are not just babies being born in hospitals. These are voters. That's the way a politician looks at it.

We have to change the framework, start looking at things from a different lens, different angle for future. You're not going to change society today in your lifetime, but you think about your grand—

[00:38:32] **Speaker 8:** Texas Rare exposed it yesterday. Voting and polling stations are now operating inside mosques across the state. This isn't random. It's a deliberate strategy with CAIR leading the charge.

CAIR Austin openly celebrated on September 17, 2024, when the Islamic Center of Brushy Creek became the county's first Muslim polling site. They applauded it as a win and highlighted their hands-on [00:39:00] role. No wonder Democrats are shattering previous non-presidential early voting records in Texas's March 2026 primary.

These aren't organic voters. This is engineered. Since 2017, billions of Texas taxpayer dollars have quietly poured into Islamic causes, organizations, and projects right here in Texas. Your money, my money, our money is building mosques, communities, and political influence that is colonizing the state. We are funding our own demise.

Over three hundred mosques now dot Texas, and CAIR's Nihad Awad boasts over four thousand nationwide, calling them his infrastructure.

[00:39:46] **Speaker 9:** We have four thousand mosques in America. Four thousand mosques. That is the largest infrastructure of social organizations, nonprofit organizations. The bulk of the Muslim community's life is in the [00:40:00] mosques.

[00:40:01] **Speaker 8:** Turkey's Erdogan is even clearer and more honest: "The mosques are our barracks, the faithful our soldiers."

[00:40:20] These aren't houses of worship, they're fortresses. Last year, Rare exposed Nihad Awad inside Texas's EPIC Mosque laying out their plan. Use the mosque, tax deductible, to build the voter bloc. Use CAIR's C4 to lobby, draft, and fight laws. Use PACs to fund candidates. Use the super PAC for unlimited money to flip races.

He said it openly: these groups make or break races. Now they're installing radicals and flipping seats all across the country.

[00:40:54] **Speaker 9:** Number one, a mosque like a C3 organization cannot get [00:41:00] involved in political work in terms of partisan activities. However, the masjid C3 organization can and should encourage people to be civically engaged, to register to vote, and go out to vote.

But you cannot do lobbying because the IRS code does not allow it. So what do we need? We need another organization called C4, social advocacy organization, C4, outside the masjid. The C4 organization can lobby, can fight legislation, can propose

legislation, can mobilize people to get legislation at any level in our life: at the school board, at the city council, at the state house, all the way to the federal government.

[00:41:51] The third level of organizations, there are two more left, are PACs, political action committees. And I think all of you know PACs. [00:42:00] Political action committees, they collect money and they give to candidates. But also they have limited ceiling as how much they give.

The more effective and most effective entity, brothers and sisters, I want you to pay attention to this, is super PACs. Super PACs, or independent expenditure, is really the organization that makes or breaks any campaign. The real money is in the super PAC. There's unlimited amount of money people can give super PACs. These are the organizations that make or break the races that you hear about.

CAIR has created the C4 organization, which is a lobbying organization to elevate the political influence of the Muslim community. So CAIR Action is a C4 organization. CAIR has also created the super PAC. This is important [00:43:00] because the Muslim community and others have been complaining, where are the Muslim PACs? There is a Muslim super PAC today, and it's called Unity and Justice Fund.

[00:43:11] **Speaker 8:** This is the same CAIR that Texas Governor Greg Abbott and Florida Governor Ron DeSantis have already designated as a foreign terrorist organization. And the most outrageous part, we're letting voting happen inside these mega fortresses, their barracks.

Walk into your closed Sharia community, vote for your fellow mosque member running for office or your favorite Democrat dhimmi who has let Islam spread unchecked. Have we lost our minds? This hands them domination from within. Voter blocs built in tax-exempt Sharia barracks, turning Texas red and green one mosque voting station at a time.

Texas, America, we must wake up. Exposure isn't enough. Demand investigation into [00:44:00] CAIR's ties, ban polling and voting in Sharia fortresses, and end taxpayer funding of our replacements. Make sure to go and vote, or we are going to lose Texas.

This is Jannah with Rare TV.

[00:44:18] **George Rasley:** Just to point out that the old tactic of the left to start small and target low-turnout, low-interest races is exactly what this is all about, and this is how they're building their farm team. And, as Robert Spencer said, advancing the political interests of the Ummah right here in America.

[00:44:40] **Frank Gaffney:** Amen. George, thank you for your acumen in all of these matters, and that of our friends at the Greer Foundation. We appreciate Damon Beck and Donna and their team so much. Very, very much appreciated.

We're going to hear from a man who has been studying closely these, well, enemies within, [00:45:00] as he calls them, is our next presenter. His name is Trevor Loudon. He's internationally recognized for his extraordinary research and analysis and warnings about what the red-green axis, about which we were just hearing, among other threats, represents to our country, our freedoms, and yes, our Western civilization more generally.

[00:45:29] He hails from New Zealand and knows a lot about losing a country to these kinds of forces, and he's determined not to let that happen here, for which we're very grateful.

Trevor, thank you for joining us. Over to you.

[00:45:42] **Trevor Loudon:** Thanks very much, Frank. And as George and others have pointed out, this is very much a project modeled on left-wing strategies.

[00:45:53] And that is not surprising, because if you look at someone like Nihad Awad, the leader of CAIR, [00:46:00] he was a Marxist before he became openly an Islamic militant. He was involved with the General Union of Palestinian Students, which was affiliated directly with the World Federation of Democratic Youth, an old Soviet front.

[00:46:17] He only switched his support from the PLO, a communist cause, to Hamas, a semi-communist cause, when the Soviet money started to dry up in the early 1990s.

You look at people like Linda Sarsour, Madinah Wilson-Anton, the state rep from Delaware, these are all Democratic Socialists of America members.

[00:46:42] CAIR, MAS, ISNA, ICNA, all of them work to some degree with Democratic Socialists of America, Communist Party USA, Freedom Road Socialist Organization. This should not surprise any of us because [00:47:00] if you go back far enough, back to the 1920s when the Muslim Brotherhood itself was founded in Egypt, that was done with help of Egyptian army officers affiliated with the Communist Party of Egypt.

[00:47:16] So this red-green alliance goes back to the very early days of the Muslim Brotherhood. It's persisted. It persisted well after World War II. The Soviets set up the Palestine Liberation Organization. Yasser Arafat was a well-known KGB agent, the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine.

And in modern times, it's no surprise to know that October 7th, a very black day in history, is also Vladimir Putin's birthday, and that Hamas was in Russia a very short time before the massacres and [00:48:00] regards Russia, not Iran, as their main ally.

So in America today, if we go back to the project which was really formulated in Lugano, Switzerland, in the early '70s, the Muslim Brotherhood elements laid out a plan. This even predates the Explanatory Memorandum that Robert Spencer was talking about.

This was a plan for taking down the West, specifically America, by setting up enclaves, by setting up parallel societies within the United States where you would get blocs of Muslims congregating in certain areas.

[00:48:44] And we've seen this in Paterson, New Jersey, in Hamtramck. We've seen it in, obviously, Dearborn, Michigan, but we're also now seeing it in Texas and Tampa, Florida, several centers in Texas. We've all heard [00:49:00] about the famous EPIC City plan for Texas. A lot of that was exposed by the Rare Foundation, to their credit.

[00:49:11] But this is the thing: the coalition with the left is very explicit. I read the left-wing materials. For instance, Democratic Socialists of America has a Muslim caucus, and one of its co-leaders, Ashik Siddique, is obviously a Muslim. They have Muslims at every level of their organization, many of them ex-members of the Muslim Students Association, and many of them members of CAIR or ISNA or ICNA or other groups.

[00:49:45] There's a very, very close alliance between the two branches, both of whom want to take this country down. And we're of course seeing this today with the ascension of [00:50:00] Zohran Mamdani to the mayoralty of New York, and the fact that one of the two DSA members who's just won Democratic primaries in New York is also a DSA Muslim. You're seeing this all over the place.

Now, the reason they're targeting particularly Texas and Florida in conjunction with the left is very, very simple. Look at the Electoral College map. If Texas or Florida can be flipped to the blue column, and James Talarico, the leftist, is running neck and neck in the Senate race in Texas right now, and the Blues are looking to do very well as well in the Florida elections later on this year.

[00:50:55] If either of those states can be flipped, either Texas or Florida or both, [00:51:00] there will never be another Republican president. You will only have Democrats. And of course, the Muslim left and the Muslim movement wants Democrats in power because the Democrats are soft on Iran, the Democrats are soft on Hamas, the Democrats are soft on Sharia. They are dhimmis, Democrat dhimmis by and large.

There was an attempt under Nancy Pelosi a few years ago to set up a national Democratic Muslim Council with Nihad Awad. They are joined at the hip. The Democratic Party and the Muslim movement in this country are joined at the hip.

[00:52:00] And in the rare occasions where you'll find Muslims running as Republicans, you also find they are affiliated with CAIR. They are really doing it like some Democrats in Republican areas run as Republicans because that's the only way you'll get elected, and it is also helpful to have a few people in the opposing side.

[00:52:22] So what I'm getting at here is this is a very deep and well-thought-out plan. The Muslim side of it was really started in Lugano, Switzerland, in the 1970s. And if you

read the Project, what they came up with, you will see it playing out in American politics today.

And the left-wing side has been ongoing for some time, but in the last fifteen years, the emphasis has been on pushing into the South. [00:52:54] It started under Jesse Jackson in his Rainbow Coalition, which was a Maoist, [00:53:00] Maoist-controlled political organization. And under Barack Obama, the idea is to mobilize the minorities of the South, the Muslims, Blacks, Latinos, plus the college kids, the soccer moms, the constituency of the left to flip those Southern states.

[00:53:21] This strategy is why Georgia now has two Democrat senators, why Arizona has two Democrat senators, why North Carolina is now a purple state, and why there are real threats now mounting to conservative rule in both Texas and Florida.

The goal is really simple. In a few years' time, a few election cycles, on current patterns with the changes of demographics, either Florida or Texas will go blue. [00:54:00] The Electoral College votes will flip to the Democrats. If they're elected again, they will increase Islamic migration, they will open the borders again, and there will never be another conservative government in the United States.

And you will see a battle between the Muslims and, well, a cooperation, then maybe a battle between the Muslims and the Marxist forces in this country to bring this country completely to its knees.

[00:54:25] So we're really one bad election away from losing the country. I think that's a fact that many on our side just have no clue about. And this is deep, this is organized, this is scientific, it is well-funded, and the goal is to destroy America as it is for the benefit of Islam and communism.

I'll finish by saying it was Carlos the Jackal, the famous Venezuelan terrorist, who still rots in jail to this day, I believe. [00:54:56] He said that America could only be destroyed by an [00:55:00] alliance between Islam and communism, Marxism-Leninism, and that is what is happening today.

[00:55:07] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Trevor. This is incredibly troubling, obviously, as well as highly relevant to the issues that we will be addressing, both hopefully in our next presentation, as well as in the days to come of the campaign to prevent the success of election jihad.

[00:55:30] Not a partisan campaign, but one that is animated by a love of our country and a commitment to preserve it from all enemies foreign and domestic. And with your great help, Trevor, we look forward to that being highly successful.

That final presentation will be provided by our friend and faithful participant in these webinars, Dr. Karen Siegemund, president of the American Freedom [00:56:00]

Alliance. She has distinguished herself in, I think, all of our webinars to date by her very thoughtful summations of what has gone before in each program, and the addition, of course, of her own insights into the nature of the problem that we're talking about, and specifically helping think through what needs to be done if we are to, in fact, preserve freedom for our posterity and for the world really, for that matter.

[00:56:35] Karen Siegemund, thank you for joining us as always. The floor is yours.

[00:56:41] **Dr. Karen Siegemund:** Thank you so much. The entirety of what we're addressing here in this webinar series is troubling to say the least. It's terrifying when we hear the details of it. And this one in particular, I think the whole election jihad notes a number of aspects, one of which is [00:57:00] jihad takes countless forms.

[00:57:02] It is comparable to unrestricted warfare, all things up to and including violent attack. It's comparable to the left's long march through the institutions, which is revolution by other means, both denoting the idea that conquest is the goal. One way or another, we will be conquered.

Robert used the word hegemony. They seek global hegemony. That's exactly right. They seek to subvert our way of life. That is exactly right. They seek to subvert all our institutions. The structure of an order of the world in which we live, the constitutional freedoms that we have, the ideas that underlie our civilization against civilization jihad, and this is just one of the many ways.

[00:57:52] It was said, conquest by bullets or ballots. At this point in time, as Robert pointed out, military [00:58:00] conquest isn't what they seek particularly. They are able to effect conquest, hegemony, subversion by other means, and this, of course, is one. Robert put it in the context of Islamic ideology and history. Again, conquest by other means with the same goal as hegemony.

[00:58:22] Scott Rasmussen talked about bloc voting, which is terrifying, and also how having moved into a primary system has really knocked out so much of what we always saw as important, which is notably that our elected officials represent us.

When elected officials only seek to represent a small minority of extreme voters or extreme philosophical activists, we've lost the country that way as well, and that was another terrifying aspect.

And both mentioned how we've lost our [00:59:00] way ideologically, bloc voting and the notion of collectivist as an activity. So what we're looking at is two sides of this, how we vote, but also the number of candidates running in a given race that is increasingly Islamic.

[00:59:18] In the videos that George Rasley put up, the numbers of candidates that are Islamic, that are Muslim, that are seeking office and even aspiring to the presidency is

shocking, and yet it ought not be. It ought not be shocking in any way. It's exactly the path that they are taking. That Mamdani is the most visible is one thing, but they're seeking office at every level.

[00:59:47] On the other hand, what we have here in Los Angeles, we have a candidate who's DSA on the left. Is she Muslim? No. But as many of, I think every one of the foregoing speakers mentioned, [01:00:00] it really doesn't matter if they're Islamic themselves. Yes, that's particularly egregious. But the left works hand in glove with Muslims.

[01:00:11] So if we have a deeply communist, socialist, DSA candidate or, heaven help us, elected official, it's almost just as bad because they do work absolutely hand in glove. They jointly seek the destruction of who we are. They'll duke it out at some later date, but right now subversion is key.

[01:00:38] They all addressed this, all the foregoing speakers. Trevor, this is of course one of his main points, the red-green alliance. All address that, and that most of the Islamic activists, one way or another, heads of CAIR and so on, [01:01:00] are in fact Marxists. There should be no surprise that they work together, that the tactics are the same, that despite ridiculous-sounding Queers for Palestine, it really doesn't matter. They are working together.

Open borders seek again to destroy us and advance both the red and the green strategies. So we've got mosques as centers or fortresses. That was a common theme that we heard. We absolutely need to pay very close attention to what happens in mosques. They all address the red-green axis, so key to all of this.

[01:01:45] We cannot assume that just because someone is not a Muslim, if they're Marxist-trained, as again, we have in Los Angeles, they are going to help the Islamic cause. We see this throughout Europe. I know that [01:02:00] Peter just wrote an article about how King Charles not only sees himself as king of the faith, but of faiths, embracing Islam.

We see what's happening with the Pope. We're seeing this in one elite executive office after another. But Trevor's point about the Electoral College being under attack is singularly relevant to this particular topic today. They are going to seek to undermine our elections to such a point that we may never have any president who is not on the left ever again, simply through sheer numbers.

[01:02:45] So we've got demographics, we've got voting, we've got candidates, we've got the left, the red-green alliance, we've got seeking to take down our Electoral College, and we've got massive demographic shifts also, as was mentioned in one of the [01:03:00] videos by George Rasley. Seeing this here and throughout Europe, they have five children to every one of ours.

[01:03:08] Is it our fault to some extent? It is an assiduous attempt. Hopefully, we can stop it before conquest is complete. But that is the goal. Jihad in various forms, in this way electoral, in this way at the ballot box. Again, ballots or bullets, they seek to take us down.

So again, another incredibly important topic. Frank, great people addressing this. And how do we stop it? The more people who are aware of this, the better, obviously. But also we need to pay close attention to what it is they're attacking. They're attacking us demographically. We need to note this. They're attacking our confidence in our way of life, Judeo-Christian values, our [01:04:00] independent thought, right? They vote en bloc. We do not. We are independent thinkers.

We need to think very strongly and strategically about how not to let this occur to the extent that they seem to be already all too effective. So thank you for this, Frank, and thank all the panelists. This is incredible information, and it's a terrifying time.

[01:04:24] **Frank Gaffney:** Well, it is certainly challenging. And our purpose in these programs, Karen, with your great help among others, is to equip people to resist the conquest. And we'll be talking more about what form that takes and how people can become involved in due course.

But let's just make a couple of closing comments about what we've just been discussing here and its importance. Mention has been made by several of our presenters of this little [01:05:00] booklet. It's entitled The Explanatory Memorandum on the General Strategic Goal of the Group in North America.

And I thought it might be worth just quickly reading a passage or two from a section which is entitled "Understanding the Role and the Nature of Work of the Islamic Center in Every City with What Achieves the Goal of the Process of Settlement."

[01:05:28] And again, this is a secret war plan for the Muslim Brotherhood written by one of its top operatives back in 1991. He's still active in the movement. His name is Mohamed Akram.

And this is what he had to say about the mosques, and to draw out Trevor and George and Karen on these points. The first paragraph is: "The center we seek is the one that constitutes the [01:06:00] axis of our movement, the perimeter of our circle of work, our balance center, the base for our rise and our Dar al-Arqam to educate us, prepare us, and supply our battalions in addition to being the niche of our prayers."

[01:06:23] He went on to say, "In brief, we say we would like for the Islamic Center to become the house of Dawah and the general center in deeds. As much as we own and direct these centers at the continent level, we can say we are marching successfully toward the settlement of Dawah in this country."

Some of those are sort of buzzwords, I guess, in the Brotherhood movement, the process of Dawah, the process of settlement, [01:07:00] the pursuit of establishing Dawah in the country. These are all code for what Karen was just talking about, conquest through subversive means initially, but through other more violent means perhaps at the battalion level.

So ladies and gentlemen, I wonder what your thoughts are as you hear about this, and you hear what Scott Rasmussen had to say and Robert Spencer specifically about what employing this base, if you will, of their operations as voting places represents in terms of this great scheme, and whether there ought to be steps taken immediately to ensure that that is not going to take place in the [01:08:00] future.

George, maybe I can start with you.

[01:08:03] **George Rasley:** It's a crucial point because our audience must understand that so many races today are decided by a handful of votes. State legislative races across the country, I mean, the majority in a state legislature is oftentimes decided by a few thousand votes split among several districts. City and county council seats, a few hundred votes, twenty-five or thirty in some cases.

And so when a bloc of voters can be solidified, and again, this is an old tactic of the left. We saw it in the labor movement, you know. Get all the guys in a factory intimidated and get them to vote as a bloc for the Democrats.

[01:08:58] It's absolutely crucial [01:09:00] that anything that tips even a few votes should be looked at with great skepticism and, if possible, eliminated.

[01:09:09] **Frank Gaffney:** Trevor, your work on the red-green axis has involved a lot of drilling down on the use the communists have made of various C3 organizations and the like, C4s, for voter registration and for get out the vote and sort of the proselytizing or indoctrination of voters.

[01:09:33] Is there a similar effort being made, as far as you can tell, on the green side of that red-green axis?

[01:09:41] **Trevor Loudon:** Absolutely. Well, you know, Nihad Awad laid it out, and Nihad Awad is a man of the left primarily who works with communists on a daily basis. So yes, he laid it out where they need C3s and C4s.

[01:09:55] So I think the central issue in battling this is this. We are [01:10:00] treating Islam as a religion, and it has the protections of religion under the U.S. Constitution. When I came to this country, I had to swear an oath that I'd never been involved in any communist or fascist group that sought to overthrow or undermine the U.S. Constitution.

[01:10:21] But if you are a Sharia-compliant Muslim, you are automatically at odds with the U.S. Constitution. If you didn't have that religious protection, you wouldn't even be allowed in the country.

We need to change the immigration code because when you're drowning in your bath, the first thing you need to do is turn the tap off. And we need to change the immigration code to not just communist or fascist, but to any organization or movement.

Are you or have you ever been affiliated with any movement [01:11:00] or political movement or social movement that is at odds with the U.S. Constitution? That would stop a lot of the immigration straight away and could be used to strip the citizenship of many people that are already here.

[01:11:15] So the key point is we don't care who you worship, we care about your political ideology and whether it goes against the U.S. Constitution. And if it does, and Sharia law clearly does, and you believe in Sharia law, you should not be allowed in this country, and you should not be allowed to hold public office at all.

[01:11:40] You shouldn't be allowed. And we shouldn't apologize for this. We need to be raising these points very, very loudly.

[01:11:45] **Frank Gaffney:** I agree. And that's our purpose here, of course. To put a fine point on it, Karen, I've talked about this in the past, the idea that you could swear an oath of office along the lines [01:12:00] of supporting and defending the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, with the certain knowledge that you are not going to faithfully execute that oath.

[01:12:13] And oh, by the way, increasingly you have your left hand on a book that calls on you to lie to the infidel.

[01:12:21] **Trevor Loudon:** Mm-hmm.

[01:12:22] **Frank Gaffney:** I mean, this is a formula for obviously faithless oath-taking and the election and empowering of people who are set on our destruction.

Karen, any further thoughts on this issue of the role of the mosques and their proliferation as part of the infrastructure of conquest?

[01:12:43] **Dr. Karen Siegemund:** It's deeply troubling, as we've been hearing, and they're houses of worship, but only one of the small aspects and, frankly, the cover aspect of the actual intent.

You were addressing just now the very idea [01:13:00] of taqiyya, that it is a religious obligation of Muslims to do whatever it takes, including lying, including putting your hand on the Quran or any book, for that matter, and pledging whatever you pledge. The

words have no meaning. Any pledge will matter. Any signature to any document doesn't matter. The goal is conquest, and if you have to lie to further that, so be it.

When you have something incorporated in your very founding and existing intentionality, in your foundation, that is not to be trusted, obviously. It goes without saying.

So the use of mosques as religious institutions, that's only cover, and everything that we've been hearing about today, their theology, their philosophy. The theology hasn't entered into this at all. It's been [01:14:00] the political gains or political aims that they have, again, cloaked in religion, which gives them the protection of the Constitution.

But we need to be very clear at immigration and beyond. Just because you make it in here should not be as trivial to arrive as they're making it. And by the way, yesterday's Supreme Court decision should get us all looking very, very hard at who we let in, who we allow to stay in. And I'm hoping, as Trevor pointed out, a very different pledge might take place. Except again, taqiyya breaks the social contract that this country relies on.

The use of mosques similarly. If our pastors and rabbis, they're so tentative in how they discuss politics out of fear of this wall between church and state, the separation of religion and politics, we need to [01:15:00] strengthen their confidence that it is sometimes okay to mix the two. And we also need to make very sure of how we address the activities that go on in mosques.

[01:15:11] **Frank Gaffney:** The point that's implicit in what you just said, of course, is the ultimate union of church and state, if you will, mosque and state, is, of course, Islam. But to the point that several of our speakers have made, this is not fundamentally about religion. Yes, there's a sort of veneer of religiosity on Sharia, but it is about political power. It is about military operations. It is about a legal system that is antithetical to our Constitution and to the government upon which it is predicated.

George, coming back to you, you've been through [01:16:00] any number of these campaigns. You have made the point very powerfully that small numbers of votes can decide outcomes at every level. How can Americans most effectively respond to this reality of bloc voting and, well, brainwashing, I would argue, but certainly directed voting, whether it's conducted in or through mosques or otherwise?

Other than by maximizing the turnout of people who don't want any part of Sharia, especially once they've learned what it will entail.

[01:16:49] **George Rasley:** What we're doing here today is helping [01:17:00] build a coalition of the informed and educated. And frankly, we need to adopt some of their tactics.

There's absolutely no reason why Christian pastors and Jewish rabbis should not be telling their congregations what's at stake in these elections and who the players are and what the threat of those are, and we need to build our own voting blocs.

[01:17:24] Amen. And again, as Karen and others have pointed out, this is something that we've been intimidated out of doing for decades. Fortunately, President Trump has made that threat somewhat less imposing. We need to really inform our Christian pastors, our rabbis, what their options are, what the threat is, and encourage them to engage in the same tactics from their pulpits as our opponents engage in in their [01:18:00] pulpits.

[01:18:00] **Frank Gaffney:** Trevor, to you. You have on any number of occasions, usually with my encouragement, spoken about using certain techniques associated in the past with defeating the mafia as means of trying to correct the penetration and subversion that we're seeing, some of it from offshore sources to be certain, but also some of it emanating from Americans as well.

[01:18:33] Could you recap that point in this context in terms of what we've got to do if we are to save our country?

[01:18:40] **Trevor Loudon:** Well, if you look at, say, organized crime like the mafia, the Thuggee gangs of India in the nineteenth century, Murder, Inc. in the 1930s, they were all brought down by law-enforcement authorities forming elite units, capturing [01:19:00] low-level terrorists, criminals, murderers, bank robbers, whatever, and offering them immunity in exchange for ratting out those above them.

[01:19:11] That's crime-fighting 101. We need to apply those technologies, those techniques to communism and Islam in this country, who are both basically based on the same system as the mafia. They extort protection from their followers. They operate in secrecy. They have secret agendas. They work in very small cell-like systems. They have their own system of omertà, of silence. And they hide behind front groups like the mafia did, you know, the Italian Benevolent Society or whatever it might have been at the time.

And so they've got CAIR. The communists have got the AFL-CIO, Democratic Socialists of America, the ACLU, [01:20:00] all of these types of organizations.

So how do you deal with them? I'm heartened to see that President Trump is basically declaring war on communism, by which I think he means DSA at the moment. As we just saw some very lengthy sentences handed down to a group of Antifa terrorists in Texas. One man got 100 years, well-deserved. And so there's clearly a desire to start dealing with these internal threats.

[01:20:29] So what the president needs to do is set up an Islamic task force and start going after low-level terrorists, low-level extorters, funders of terrorist movements, and basically putting the heat on them to expose those above them. “Okay, you can get a lesser sentence, you can walk if you tell us who funded you, where you got the money from, who gave you the orders.”

[01:21:00] And by a concerted effort, giving amnesty to low-level people in exchange for cooperation and information, you can take down these secretive organizations.

You know, there should be indictments. Both CAIR and... CAIR is a terrorist organization now in Texas and Florida, but I haven’t seen a single indictment. I haven’t seen any investigation of CAIR. I haven’t seen CAIR people brought in for interrogation. I haven’t seen them offer deals in exchange for information. I’ve seen none of this. It’s lip service so far.

But the principle is very simple. You want to take down these secret organizations, you offer amnesty to low-level operatives if they will rat on the people above them.

And if you do that, as was done with Murder, Inc., [01:22:00] as was done with the Five Families of New York and the Thuggee gangs of India, you will start to whittle away their influence, which will encourage more people to come forward, and you can actually tear these organizations down.

You could tear down CAIR in a year if you were really serious about it. You could tear down ISNA and ICNA. And if you tear down these organizations, and ramp up deportations of radicals, and change the immigration code to stop further encroachment into our country, you would get on top of this problem relatively quickly, I think.

[01:22:42] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Trevor, very much.

I had hoped that we would have earlier in the program a final contributor. He’s just turned up. I’m very grateful to him for doing so. His name is Rod Martin. I’d love to get your concluding thoughts on this. You are never at a loss for insight [01:23:00] into the sorts of challenges that we’re talking about.

[01:23:02] **Rod Martin:** Or words.

[01:23:03] **Frank Gaffney:** Words and, generally speaking, very good ideas as well.

Rod is the driving force behind a terrific online resource, the Rod Martin Report. You can find it at rodmartin.org. He is also an entrepreneur, and I’m very pleased to say the chairman of the board of the Institute for the American Future, which is making possible the BanSharia.com project.

[01:23:27] Rod, we've been talking now for over an hour about the election jihad as a piece of an unrestricted warfare effort to take down our country being brought to us by assorted Sharia supremacists, and welcome your thoughts both about that problem.

You served as a policy advisor to then-Governor Mike Huckabee back in the day, and have a lot of experience with politics as well as policy. We're very anxious, [01:24:00] especially for thoughts about how best to counteract this particular threat.

[01:24:04] **Rod Martin:** Well, we have to have a more serious understanding of what Islam is, and of course, this entire webinar is about this, as so many others have been as well. And I'm very, very grateful for the work of this organization to achieve that.

[01:24:21] Islam has always been a political movement. It has a religious basis, but so did Nazism, so did communism. Communism pretended not to, but it very much had what I've sometimes called an atheology. You have deified the state, or more precisely, the party, which is one and the same, because somehow the state never withers away.

[01:24:47] And the entire leader principle in Germany, same thing. You're just making Hitler a cult leader in the same way that you are Kim Jong Un. [01:25:00] The labels change. The reality is no different.

But what is different is that Islam has a fourteen-hundred-year history of conquering people. They have the temerity to talk about the Israelis being colonists in their own land. They conquered that land, largely at the time that they did it from Christians, actually. But nevertheless, they conquered that land, and they conquered the entirety of North Africa, and they conquered the entirety of the Middle East, and they conquered the entirety of India at a certain point, though that was rolled back to some degree, and all the way to Indonesia.

This is a political movement, and Sharia is a political ideology. [01:26:00] It is no different than if somebody wanted... Well, actually, I'm not even being fair here. Lots of Americans would say they would be concerned if, say, somebody proposed legislating stuff out of the Old Testament. Well, this is exactly the same as that, only much, much worse in content.

The content here is, kill the infidel. The content here is, tax everyone who doesn't submit. The deal here is ultimately that Muslims will conquer the world by whatever means. And as has been rightly pointed out earlier in this webinar, the Quran is perfectly clear that you have every right to lie to the infidel as completely and thoroughly as seems good and right to achieve Allah's ends.

[01:26:45] Well, but it's not Allah's ends we're really achieving. It is whatever Islamist dictator happens to exist, and these guys are very, very clear. The true radicals, I mean,

[01:27:00] I'm sure we all have Muslim friends who are kind of like some nominal Christians in the United States. They don't read that stuff. They don't take it seriously.

But the people who do, and there are a lot of them, the people who do are basically Nazis. Just to be clear, there's a reason the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Yasser Arafat's uncle, was in Europe meeting with Hitler and helping execute the Holocaust in the Balkans. He got banned from coming back to the British Empire because he was, in effect, a Nazi war criminal.

And so this is the inspiration of what became the PLO. This is the inspiration of what became Hamas, which, as we all know, exists because the PLO wasn't radical enough. This is where the Iranians are. And of course, they're [01:28:00] happy to use Hezbollah and Hamas even though they don't agree with them theologically because they don't care about the theology when you boil it all down. They care about domination, and they care about destroying the West.

So we talk about all this settler colonialism with regard to Israel. That's not the game. They're not interested in destroying Israel so much as they're interested in destroying the United States. They're not as interested in delegitimizing Israel as they are in using Israel as a case study for why all of the settler colonies, but particularly the United States, are illegitimate and destroy us from within.

[01:28:47] And there's no way to put those eggs back in those shells. The omelet has been made. It's not like you and I are all going to move back to Europe, but they're going to cleanse us through [01:29:00] the purification of Islam, and they're never going to redeem us exactly, but they'll at least make us feel a little better about ourselves when we get rid of all this awful Americanism.

This is a political movement. So political leaders must be clear-headed about it. Is there a religious aspect? Certainly. Do we want, in any way, to restrain somebody's religious practice? Oh, wait, yes we do.

If you had a religion that engaged in human sacrifice, the United States has always restricted that. If you had a religious practice that involved temple prostitutes, the prostitutes would not be exempt from the laws against prostitution under the First Amendment.

We have lots of restrictions on things that could be deemed a religious practice, [01:30:00] and we're just being dumb about it.

Part of this, I hate to blame George W. Bush, because he did so much good. There are so many positive things to say about Bush, even though Bush was kind of terrible, but Bush moved the Overton window on this in the wrong direction. He is the one who told you that Islam is a religion of peace.

When in human history has Islam ever been a religion of peace anywhere? It's absolutely false, and I understand why he did it. He's trying to get all these Middle Eastern countries on side to go invade Iraq. I get it, but it was very harmful ultimately to the cause of the United States.

So if political leaders will be clear-headed and they will actually take on the fight against the dominant cultural view... [01:31:00] We had a tough beat yesterday on birthright citizenship, which absolutely intersects with this issue, but the interesting thing to me about that is not that we lost. I expected we'd lose. We talked about that on your show, Frank. I didn't think we were going to win it.

I just was tickled to death that Trump pushed the point, got it in the public debate. We actually had that debate. We converted a lot of people to the correct position, which by the way, is the position of all of the people who enacted the 14th Amendment, is the position of the Supreme Court at the time, is the position of the attorney general when it was passed, is exactly where they all were.

[01:31:37] But all of that's lost, because we haven't really thought about those things since not long after the 1920s, when Congress had to enact special legislation to make Indians born in, say, the state of New York, a citizen because they were clearly not covered by the 14th Amendment.

Okay, same thing here. [01:32:00] Trump pushed the envelope dramatically on that issue by issuing an executive order that made a big difference. We didn't have to convince all of Congress, we didn't have to even convince the Supreme Court to have the discussion.

Until we have the discussion, we will never win the battle. So we actually have to have at least a handful, and we do thankfully, of courageous politicians who will pick this ball up and distinguish, bring in the smartest folks, and legally distinguish between the religious practices of Islam and the impermissible religious practices of Islam and above all, the political agenda of Islam.

[01:32:45] These are three separate things. They overlap, but they are different, and they are things we can distinguish in our law. Until and unless we do that, this invasion is going to continue. The birthright citizenship ruling [01:33:00] just made it worse, not meaningfully worse, because we were already doing it that way. But it certainly didn't help.

And if we can have this debate and we can start actually peeling this onion and helping regular people, not just smart people who sit around and think about it, but regular, normal people say, "Oh, wait, that's what they want to do in power? Oh, that's who they really are? That's what they're pushing for?"

When you achieve that, we can undo the damage of the past twenty-five years, which is manifest to all. New York was attacked on 9/11 by militant Islamists who had a political, not primarily a religious, a political agenda, and twenty-five years later, they elect Zohran Mamdani.

[01:33:56] We have gone the wrong [01:34:00] direction in too much of this culture because of, honestly, hate to say it, George W. Bush more than anybody else. And until we undo that, we simply are radically endangered in this country. It may take a while to boil the frog, but the frog will boil.

[01:34:20] **Frank Gaffney:** We are deeply grateful for this intervention. Rod, I'm sorry to say that we are hard out of time. Thank you for contributing to it. I'm not sure George W. Bush is going to thank you, but I think you lay correctly at his feet a considerable amount of the blame, thanks to a Muslim Brotherhood influence operation that was run on him very successfully in the immediate aftermath of 9/11.

[01:34:42] That's another topic for another day. But in the meantime, I do want to thank all of our tremendous contributors. This has been a profoundly important conversation. Robert Spencer, Peter McIlvenna, George Rasley, Scott Rasmussen, Trevor Loudon, Rod Martin, and Dr. Karen Siegemund, [01:35:00] thank you.

We will be building going forward on the foundation that you have established with this very important discussion. And specifically, watch this space, folks, for more about what we can do to ban Sharia. Check us out at BanSharia.com.

God bless you all. God bless America. Tune to us again next week, same time, at one o'clock, we hope next week on Wednesday. Over and out.